	Case 2:23-cv-00577-KJM-JDP Documer	nt 11 Filed 07/03/24 Page 1 of 3	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	FERNANDO GASTELUM,	Case No. 2:23-cv-00577-KJM-JDP (PS)	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	ORDER SETTING INITIAL SCHEDULING	
14	NIHAL, LLC,	CONFERENCE	
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, it is hereby ORDERED that:		
18	1. An initial scheduling conference is set for August 15, 2024, at 10:00 a.m. The		
19	conference will be conducted remotely via Zoom. ¹		
20	2. No later than fourteen days prior to the scheduling conference, the parties shall file		
21	status reports that address the following: ²		
22	a. the factual and legal contentions set forth in the parties' pleadings, briefly		
23	summarized;		
24	b. possible joinder of additional parties;		
25	c. expected amendment of pleadings and, if applicable, a proposed deadline for		
26	such amendment;		
27	The Zoom invitation will be distributed one week prior to the scheduling conference.		
28	² The parties are encouraged to file a joint status report.		

Case 2:23-cv-00577-KJM-JDP Document 11 Filed 07/03/24 Page 2 of 3 1 d. jurisdiction and venue; 2 e. anticipated motions and their scheduling; 3 f. a proposed discovery plan and its scheduling, including deadlines for 4 exchanging initial disclosures and for disclosing expert witnesses; 5 g. proposed cutoff dates for completing discovery and dispositive motions;³ 6 h. any proposed changes to the limits on discovery imposed by the Federal Rules 7 of Civil Procedure: 8 i. whether the case is related to any other cases, including any bankruptcy cases; 9 j. whether an early settlement conference should be scheduled at the initial 10 scheduling conference; 11 k. whether the parties will stipulate to the undersigned acting as the settlement 12 judge and waive disqualification from so acting, or whether they prefer to have a different 13 magistrate judge conduct a settlement; and 14 1. any other matters that may add to the just and expeditious disposition of this 15 matter. 16 3. The parties are hereby notified that failure to obey federal or local rules, or any order 17 of this court, "may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by 18 statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court," including dismissal. E.D. Cal. L.R. 19 110. 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

27

28

³ The parties are advised that Judge Peterson generally requires that: (1) expert disclosures be completed before the close of fact discovery; (2) all motions to compel discovery be heard approximately thirty days before the close of discovery; and (3) all dispositive motions be heard within approximately ninety days of the discovery completion date. A final pretrial conference will be set after resolution of any dispositive motions or passage of the dispositive motion deadline. A trial date will be determined at the pretrial conference.

Case 2:23-cv-00577-KJM-JDP Document 11 Filed 07/03/24 Page 3 of 3

1	4. The parties are reminded of their continuing duty to notify chambers immediately of	
2	any settlement or other disposition. See E.D. Cal. L.R. 160.	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	D-4-4. I-I 2 2024	Jum Polina
6	Dated: <u>July 3, 2024</u>	JEREMY D. PETERSON
7		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		